Report of Dr. Nagan Gowda Committee.

*Q.—384. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud)—.

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have appointed a committee under the chairmanship of Dr. Nagan Gowda, Member, Legislative Assembly;

(b) if so, the terms of reference;

(c) whether the committee has submitted its reports;

(d) whether they will lay a copy of the report on the table of the House;

(e) whether they will defer passing orders on the report till the House has had an opportunity to debate the report?

A.—Sri N. RACHAIAH.—(Minister for Agriculture, Excise and Social Welfare).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The terms of reference to the Committee are.—

(i) to suggest the criteria to be adopted in determining which sections of the people in the place should be treated as socially and educationally backward;

(ii) to suggest the exact manner in which the criteria thus indicated should be followed to enable the State Government to determine the persons who should secure such preferences as may be determined by Government in respect of admissions to technical institutions and appointments to Government services.

(c) Yes, an Interim Report.

(d) The Committee has not given any final Report. They have given only an Interim Report, which has been distributed to the Members.

(e) The recommendations in the Interim Report have already been accepted by Government, with a slight modification tentatively till the final Report is received.

Supply of Fodder in Scarcity Areas of Navalgund and Nargund Petha.

*Q.—492. Sri R. M. PATIL (Navalgund).—

Will the Government he pleased to state:—

what arrangements have been made by them to provide fodder to the poor agriculturists affected by scarcity in Navalgund and Nargundpeta?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

Fodder supply as such has not been organised by Government as previous experience of such arrangements was not altogether satisfactory. The situation has been adequately met by liberal advances of tagai loans and by encouraging Co-operatives to procure grass from surplus areas.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—(Chief Minister).—I beg to lay on the Table Notification No. G. A. D. 4 BAM 60, dated 24th February 1960 making certain amendments to the Mysore Ministers, Travelling Allowance Rules, 1959 under Section 15 of the Mysore Ministers, Salaries and Allowances Act.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLLIC IMPORTANCE

Correspondence between Chief Minister of Andhra and Mysore regarding cetain territories included in Andhra State.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ (ಹಡಗಲಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಅತಿಮುಖ್ಯ ವಿಚಾರದ ಕಡೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯವನ್ನ ಎಳೆಯಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ದಿನಾಂಕ 14 ರಂದು ಆಂಧ್ರ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಆಂಧ್ರ, ಕೇರಳ ಮತ್ತು ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ರಾಜ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ರತಕ್ಕ ಸಣ್ಣ ಪುಟ್ಟ ಗಡಿ ವಿವಾದಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರವೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಂದೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಆಂಧ್ರ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಆಲೂರು, ರಾಯದುರ್ಗ, ಅದವಾನಿ, ಮಡಕಶಿರಾ, ಕಾನರಗೋದು, ಹೊಸೂರು ಮುಂತಾದ ಭಾಗಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಪತ್ರ ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸಿದೆಯೆಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿವರವಾದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—I would like to point out that in answer to Sri Venkatai Gowda's interpellation some time back, the Chief Minister was pleased to say that this matter was under correspondence.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಇರುವುದು ಶ್ರೀಗಳಾದ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್, ನರಸಿಂಹ ಮತ್ತು ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ, ಈ ಮೂವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ. ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಮಾತನಾಡಬಹುದು. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ಕೇಳೋಣ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—One point which was referred to was that it was under correspondence.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—My attention has been drawn to the Report on page 9 of the Indian Express dated 15th March 1960 under the Caption 'No reference from Mysore on Border area'. The Hon'ble Sri M. M. Patil Member, Legislative Assembly had put a question No. 260 to which I replied that the question of border adjustment in order to conform to the linguistic principle was under discussion with the State Governments concerned. Similarly, I replied to clause (*b*) of that question that the matter was still in the stage of negotiation. It is not considered advisable in public interest to disclose details. Under Section 21 of the States Reorganisation Act of 1956, any matter concerning boundary dispute has to to be referred to the Zonal Council and the State Governments cannot address directly in the matter to the other States concerned. Excepting the Bambay State, all other States in which Kannada areas were included are in the Southern Zone and Mysore State is in the Western Zone, though it has been invited to the meetings of the Southern Zonal Council also. So, Mysore State cannot raise directly in the Southern Zonal Council any question of border adjustment with Madras, Kerala and Andhra Pradesh Governments. In view of this position, the Union Home Minister who is the Chairman of both the Southern Zonal Council and the Western Zonal Council was addressed on 27 the June 1957 suggesting that a joint meeting of the Zonal Councils, of Southern and Western Zones under Section 27 of the States Reorganisation Act for consideration of border areas may be convened. The Chairman of the Zonal Councils was however in favour of taking first only the Belgaum and Kasargod question. The matter was subsequently discussed by the then Chief Minister with the Union Home Minister and the question of convening a joint meeting is not yet placed before the Zonal Councils.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—This is not an answer to my point. The Hon'ble the Chief Minister definitely stated on the floor of this House that the matter was under correspondence but the Chief Minister of Andhra has denied it. I want to know whether there is actually any correspondence at all in the matter.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಏನಾದರೂ ಅನುಮಾನವಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೇಳಬಹುದೇ ಏನಾ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಅಥವಾ ಕೌಂಟರ್ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Ramanagaram).—Sir, I read in the paper that the Chief Minister of Mysore had left the question of Belgaum Border...

Mr. SPEAKER.—Mhe present question refers only to Andhra and Mysore Borders.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am referring to that. The Home Minister of the Government of India has made a statement like that. Has the Chief Minister left this dispute regarding borders between Andhra and Mysore also in the hands of the Home Minister of the Government of India?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, the recent discussion was with reference to the Borders between Bombay and Mysore and as I made a statement, I have not left the question to the Union Home Minister. I have made it pretty clear that the resolution which has been passed in this House and the Upper House is the resolution which the Government have accepted and I stick to that. I have not changed my view at all and I have not accepted to leave that matter to be decided by the Union Home Minister during the last discussion.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to take this question also, namely, border issue between Andhra and Mysore as seriously as the Government of India has taken the border issue between Bombay and Mysore State?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Government will have to consider this question also.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—There is no answer to my question.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—As I explained just now, we cannot directly correspond with the State Governments with whom we are concerned regarding the borders. The correspondence if at all there is, should be with the Union Home Minister who happens to be the Chairman of the Zonal Council. Here, if at all we have to refer to correspondence, it is the correspondence between the then Chief Minister of Mysore State and the Chairman of the Zonal Council and whatever information we get, it is only through the Chairman of the Zonal Council and not directly from the Chief Minister of Andhra or Madras or Bombay.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Does the Hon'ble Chief Minister realise that what he states is merely informal and that the decision vests with the Parliament and the Government of India?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, the Parliament is the final authority in deciding the area of the States or changing the area; that is a known fact.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next item—discussion on the Budget.

Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—Sir, we shall continue after Tea.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then, we shall now adjourn for half-an-hour.

The House adjourned for recess a Fifty Minutes past Nine of the Clock and reassembled at Twenty Minutes past Ten of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair.]

BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 1960-61—GENERAL DISCUSSION

(Debate continued).

† Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am indeed very grateful to this House and to the Hon'ble Members for receiving the Budget in a very appreciative manner. Sir, I want to divide the criticism in this House into three broad categories. Some have appreciated it, while not appreciating several items included in the Budget. Many have appreciated the soundness of the financial structure that has recently been built up in Mysore. Others have given us very valuable and constructive suggestions as to how to keep up this fine and sound structure that has been built up. While all these suggestions are most welcome, my humble plea with those who have been very destructive in their criticism, who have been very cynical in their expressions and who have been unfortunately vitriolic in their gesture, I make a special appeal to them, after all you and I have to build up the economy of the State and it will not help anybody, much less the State, if we merely try to sling mud or try to find faults where there are none, we will be indeed very grateful if you find faults where there are faults. In facts, we will be most grateful and democracy is Government by discussion and no Government can be carried on unless the members of the Opposition are alert, vigilant and try to point out our defects and faults at every stage. That would be most welcome. But my only prayer is that by the next budget there will be a change of heart, a change of outlook so that both the sides would go along the path of progress in rebuilding this new State of Mysore. There were a few very malicious attacks. I can see the reason for a very strong, trenchant criticism from the members of the Opposition; but a very unhealthy trend often apperes even from our side. We must admit that in a democratic set up, criticism is always welcome. I do not want a